

# FONS

1600-1858

Number of references ordered: 10

Item number 1

Source: Public Record Office: C 33/164 p. 406

Title: *Chancery Orders & Decrees Easter 9 Charles I*

9 May 1633

Richard Wheately pl'

Edward Blacker<sup>1</sup> de

The def hath this daie made his psonall apperaunce vpon an Att' for saueinge of his bond made to the sheriff of Sussex.

*The defendant has today appeared in person upon an attachment, in order not to forfeit his bond made to the sheriff of Sussex.*

---

<sup>1</sup> sic

# FONS

1600-1858

Number of references ordered: 10

Item number 2

Source: Public Record Office: C 33/164 p. 496

Title: *Chancery Orders & Decrees Easter 9 Charles I*

16 May 1633

Wheatly q'

Blaker de

If the def<sup>r</sup> shewe noe cause for staie of publ' by this daie senight Then  
publ' is graunted

*If the defendant show no cause for stay of publication within one week,  
then publication is granted.*

# FONS

1600-1858

Number of references ordered: 10

Item number 3

Source: Public Record Office: C 33/164 p. 651

Title: *Chancery Orders & Decrees Trinity 9 Charles I*

10 July 1633

Ricum Wheately, gen' q'tem

Edrum Blaker def'

Forasmuch as this Court was this daie informed by M<sup>r</sup> Rogers beinge of the pl<sup>t</sup>'s Councill That the s<sup>d</sup> def' haueinge beene examined vpon Interr's towchinge A supposed contempt laide to his chardge for breach of the ~~deeree~~ priviledge of this Courte the said def' haue cautavusly and insufficiently answered the said Interr's It is therefore ordered that S<sup>r</sup> Edward Clarke Kt one &c' shall consider of the said Interr's and examinac'ons of the def Blaker therevpon to thend hee may reporte to this Co<sup>r</sup>te whether the said def' haue sufficiently answered the said Interr's or not wherevpon such further order shalbee taken as shalbee meete

*Whereas this court was today informed by Mr Rogers (one of the plaintiff's counsel) that the said defendant having been examined on interrogatories about a supposed contempt of which he is accused, for breach of this court's privilege, the said defendant has answered the said interrogatories captiously and insufficiently. It is therefore ordered that sir Edward Clarke, knight, one of the Masters of this court, shall consider the said interrogatories and the examinations of the defendant Blaker thereon, to the end that he may report to this court whether the said defendant has sufficiently answered the said interrogatories or not; whereupon such further order shall be taken as shall be suitable.*

