

Quitclaim : Diana widow of Richard son of Margery of Harewode to William of Hescaithe and Matilda his wife

[no title] DDHE 18/8 (25 Jul. 1294.)

These documents are held at Lancashire Archives

Contents:

Quitclaim: Diana widow of Richard son of Margery of Harewode to William of Hescaithe and Matilda his wife -- as DDHE 18/7 -- Witn: Sir Adam of Hudelston, **Henry of Blaker, John his son**, William of Alveton, John of Shuttesworthe, Thomas of the Grene, John of Revid, Adam of Smereshalgh. Given at Harewod, St. James Day, 22 Ed. I.

Portmote Monday on the Morrow of the Exaltation of the Holy Cross

[This catalogue entry contains a reference to "Henry de Blakered", but this is probably an error for "Blakerod", referring to Blackrod in Lancashire.]

no title] ZM/R4/m.1v nd

These documents are held at Cheshire and Chester Archives and Local Studies Service

Contents:

Portmote Monday on the Morrow of the Exaltation of the Holy Cross.

Margery who was wife of Robert de Bradeford complained of Robert son of Robert de Bradeford,....., Richard Rossel and Stephen Scote that unjustly and without judgment they disseised her of her free tenement in Chester post terminum. Day was given to the parties until Monday..... Evangelist at the prayer of the parties. On which day the parties appeared

Richard le Falays complained of Richard Strote on a plea of trespass.

Alice daughter of Gilbert the Taylor appeared v. John de le Flint. And he through William Iuvenis his attorney..... He did not come. Therefore judgment was given that the said Richard should be put on pain of petty distress to be there..... Evangelist.

..... appeared v. John de Orreby on a plea of land; and he essoined himself through..... exhibited a letter of the King witnessing that the said John was in his service..... Monday next after the Feast of St. James.

Robert the Chamberlain appeared v. Robert le Bolour and Agnes his wife on a plea of land. And Robert le Bolour came through..... Pigas his attorney and the said Agnes appeared in person v. John son of Hugh le Taylour that he might warrant;..... did not come but essoined himself a second time through Ralph Norman.

Day given to Hugh le Mercer and Henry de Blakered until etc.

The pledge of William Godweit was distrained for the second time after law etc.

The pledge of Richard le Falais was distrained for the first time.

John de Royelan acknowledged the pledge of Richard Strote.

Day given to Richard the Cook and Robert son of Robert the Chamberlain until etc.

Henry Cardon appeared v. Margery de le Boure on a plea of trespass. She did not come..... Gilbert Denfoul her pledge should be amerced.

Margin: Amercement, 6d.

William Coc through William Iuvenis his attorney appeared v. John Prest on a plea of..... He did not come and was twice distrained. Therefore judgment was given that he and Adam de Melys his pledge, should be amerced.

Margin: Amercement, 12d.

Day given to Henry de Pellam and to William de Donam until etc.

Day given to Henry ...cellar' and Henry the Chaplain until etc.

Concerning Richard Fiton because he did not proceed with the suit v. Henry de Thelewell.

Margin: Amercement, 6d.

Henry de Thelewell appeared v. Richard Fiton on a plea of debt and Richard Fiton did not come..... his pledge should be amerced.

Concerning Margerykebalbe because she did not proceed with the suit v. Wimanus and Valerie his wife and his son; for pledge Hodde.

Margin: Amercement, 12d.

Richard de Stanlowe appeared v. Adam Keling to receive his law and he came..... he himself and Richard Fox his pledge should be amerced.

Margin: Amercement, 12d. Damages, 2s.

Concerning Richard Tonsor for.....; for pledge William Godbroy'.

Concerning the groom of Benedict le Minorr

Concerning the groom of William de Doncastre for the same; for pledge, Hikedon.